

**Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance/
Single Family Residential Design Guidelines Update**

(NPO Update)

Two-Year Review

DRAFT

Guideline Revisions for:

Single Family Residential Design Guidelines (SFRDG)

Single Family Design Board Guidelines (SFDB Guidelines)

April 8, 2010

I. Single Family Residential Design Guidelines Draft Changes (12-15-09 CAR Item 6)

A. SFDB 2009 Update Acknowledgements. Add current SFDB Boardmembers and staff-member Tony Boughman, Planning Technician II to the acknowledgements page.

B. Projects that Require Design Review. Update list to reflect roof alteration trigger new ordinance language and proposed 3.5' front yard fence/wall trigger rather than 6' trigger.

C. Creeks Reference. Improve references to city guidelines for projects alongside creeks and their specific landscaping issues (e.g. riparian and native plant preservation). Page 7-SP Guideline 1 Environmental Setting, insert a new sentence as follows.

...Projects adjacent to creeks should follow applicable "special area" landscape design guidelines for creeks, water courses and wetlands listed in the Single Family Design Board Guidelines. Native plant preservation is important on some sites. Additionally, consider potential impacts of new and remodeled structures in the vicinity of historic resources identified by the City.

D. Water Conservation References. Improve references to the city's landscaping guidelines for water conservation. Page 7-SP proposed revision to Guideline 1:

1. **Environmental Setting & Landscaping.** Consider the environmental setting and appropriate landscaping in the site planning and structure placement process.
- 1.1 **Integrate structures and site plan with the environmental setting.** Structures are integrated with the setting when new dwellings and additions look as if they belong on the site,...
- 1.2 **Comply with landscape standards, codes and guidelines.** Projects are required to comply with applicable city water wise standards and Storm Water Management Program components. Additionally, the SFDB Guidelines contain a chapter of Landscape Design Guidelines which all projects should comply with.

E. Solar Design. Correct the property line shown on diagram and add north arrow.

F. Carport Design Guidelines. Staff recognized that additional guidelines to address carports is needed and proposes new carport guidelines text on page 12-SP. Also, former Guideline 5.3 is moved into the new group of carport guidelines.

G. Uncovered Parking Design Guidelines. 12-15-09 CAR Item 6 calls for guidelines to address uncovered parking spaces. To address this, new text is proposed to be inserted in the SFDG page 13-SP.

H. Covered Elements Guidelines. The following text is proposed to be added to Page 18-C in the left-hand bulleted list. Additionally, a new subheading, "Volume, Bulk, Massing and Scale Issues" is proposed for the left hand column of page 20-C.

- **Second Story Decks:** Do wall elements, guardrails, furniture, or outdoor fireplaces contribute to the **bulk** or **scale** of the project?
- **Covered Porches, Loggias, and Covered Decks:** Do the covered porches, loggias, and/or covered decks enhance the building's design, appearance, and function? Do they contribute to excessive **mass**, **scale** and **bulk**? Careful consideration should be given to projects that propose greater than 250 square feet of these areas, or when they are greater than 10% of the total net square footage of the structure. Because they include roof structures these areas might easily be enclosed in the future, possibly without design review. Future enclosure of existing covered areas may contribute to unacceptable **size**, **bulk**, and **scale**, eliminate a desirable architectural feature, or exceed FAR limits.

I. FAR Guidelines Implementation.

Page 21-C proposed text addition:

FARs measure and limit a structure's size based on lot size. FARs do not translate to an accurate measure of volume because plate heights and roof slopes for homes vary. However, they are a useful indication of a structure's bulk relative to its site. Architectural features such as covered porches, loggias, and covered decks contribute to the mass and bulk of a building. While they are not included in the FAR, they are considered as part of the project's mass and bulk. FARs provide general parameters of reasonable lot build-out according to lot size. FARs are often used to analyze a proposed project's potential for neighborhood compatibility. Many communities have implemented FARs to better control size, bulk and scale of development. Ideally FARs can help prevent sudden dramatic incompatible neighborhood changes.

Page 23-C table - bold the headings and shade the columns of the 100% of max. and 85% of max. to focus applicant attention on these figures which are most often referred to in the Design Review process.

Page 24-C proposed text insertion after the section "Projects Under 85% of the Maximum FARs are Encouraged" and before the section "Properties Legal-Nonconforming as to a Required Maximum Size".

Applicability of FARs as Guidelines.

Maximum FARs are applied as guidelines rather than requirements on lots that are 15,000 square feet or larger, or located in multi-family or non-residential zones. Site and zoning variables might contribute to less reliability in the use of the 20 closest FAR Study.

Some situations may support higher FARs and projects that approach or exceed guideline FARs might not pose a problem and FAR compatibility may be less critical. Larger lots may allow more space between structures and in some cases may allow the project to be less visible to the public and to neighbors. In multi-family or non-residential zones where density of development is usually higher, single-family residential projects will likely have lower FARs than other types of development. These zones are likely to have more variety of development.

Other situations may support lower FARs. When the buildable portion of a site is small in relationship to the lot size, an FAR lower than what would normally be indicated for the lot size may be more appropriate. On some large lots not all of the lot area may be developable due to steep slopes or creek or ocean bluff setbacks. These site constraints can push development on a site closer to the street, or closer to neighbors. In the Riviera there are examples where development on larger lots is clustered close together around cul-de-sacs or built close to the public streets. The configuration of the lot may reduce its developable area, for example flag lots. Corner lots or other lots with multiple street frontages have increased area within the front setbacks and development on these lots may be more visible. In situations like these, compatibility with neighboring FARs may be more pertinent. As a general rule, where the development is closer to property boundaries or more visible to the public and to neighbors, the proposed FAR should be reduced.

J. 20 Closest Homes Data Use Guidance. Page 25-C proposed new text:

20 Closest FAR Study

When a project proposes to exceed 85% of a maximum required FAR, the applicant must provide a study of the FARs of the 20 closest lots. Using a geographic information system, the 20 closest lots are selected for the project's neighborhood. This information is a tool used by the review board to assist in determining the compatibility of a project's size within its neighborhood. Data on square footages and lot sizes are obtained from the County Assessor's Office or from City records and plan archives. The information is assumed to be approximate due to variations in calculation methods and because many County records reflect original home sizes, but the data allows a general sense of the

project's size and FAR compatibility with nearby development. Factors to consider when using the 20 Closest FAR Study include:

- Variability of square footages in the neighborhood
- Variability of lot sizes and FARs in the neighborhood
- Site constraints; how much of the lot area is developable?
- Is the project near the average for the neighborhood?
- Is the project among the largest in the neighborhood?
- The project's volume, bulk, scale, height, and massing relative to its square footage
- Closer proximity to neighboring structures and/or denser development in the neighborhood suggests closer adherence to the size of adjacent structures and to the average size of structures in the study.

K. Glass Railings. The following new text was discussed by a subcommittee on glass railings on 6/8/09 and 6/22/09 and by the SFDB on 7/6/09. Page 35-C proposed text:

Glass Material:

16.7 In general, deck-railing materials should be selected to be consistent with the architectural style of the structure. The use of glass railings as guardrails or as windscreens is not the preferred material at highly visible locations due to the possible glare associated with these types of installations. Installations of reflective glass materials will be reviewed to determine if the installation is compatible with the structure and that it does not create significant glare problems. Large "picture" windows that are not broken up with mullions and/or muntins will be reviewed for architectural compatibility and for glare problems.

L. Basement Guidelines. 12-15-09 CAR Item 6 calls for guidelines regarding how to determine if a basement design and size is appropriate and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. To address this, the following text is proposed to be inserted on page 37-C. Illustration of the terms partial/daylight basement and walk-up basement are proposed.

18. Partial Basement Design.

Carefully design partial basements so that they do not inordinately create a bulky appearance, or contribute to an inappropriate apparent height.

Daylight, or partial basement designs, where some portions of the floor level are above ground are not considered a full basement. Daylight basements may obtain a 50% FAR reduction if at least half of the exterior perimeter walls are sufficiently below grade. (SBMC 28.15.083) Partial basement designs are integral to the entire project appearance and will be reviewed for size, bulk and scale, apparent height, appropriateness and neighborhood compatibility

along with the rest of the project regardless of any basement discounts the project may have received. Floor areas completely underground and located within full basements levels are 100% exempt from inclusion in FAR calculations due to these areas not being significantly visible.

(Insert small photos of good examples of each of these types of basements, or small diagrams labeled, and potentially also include definitions of these terms:

- Partial / Daylight Basement
- Walk-Up Basement)

18.1 The following basement project types warrant careful review of basement floor areas:

- publicly visible daylight basement
- corner lot location
- especially visible hillside areas .
- if a partial basement size exceeds 25% of the house size

In some cases, large visible daylight basement areas should be reduced, placed underground and hidden from view as they contribute to the size, bulk and scale of a house size.

18.2 Grading and cutting into sloped hillsides to create basement floors is an acceptable grading technique. However, the following basement construction techniques are discouraged:

- excessive fill placement
- excessive retaining walls placement
- elevating natural grades around a structure's perimeter to create basement floor areas. The placement of this type of grading fill elevates the building higher than the natural topography and may be considered an artificial mechanism to increase floor areas. The SFDB may limit this type of grading design.

18.3 Garage Basements. Excessive retaining wall placement to create driveway or walk-out basements is not acceptable. The design of a garage opening at the basement level can contribute to a structure's apparent height. Carefully ensure that any garage basement complies with Apparent Height Guideline 28 and Grading for Driveways Guideline 30.

M. Lighting Guideline Revisions. Many of the following recommended lighting revisions shown in ~~strikeout~~ and underline to begin on page 75-N were drafted by Architect Steve Hausz with additional revisions recommended by the SFDB Subcommittee and staff.

38. Lighting Guidelines

Lighting for single family homes is usually proposed for security and decorative reasons, and should be designed in a way that it is not detrimental to neighboring properties. A good lighting plan for a home will provide sufficient light for adequate site security, will use fixtures appropriate for the style of architecture, and will use the least amount of light and energy necessary to meet those objectives. "Night glow," the effect of artificial lights illuminating the night sky and making stars less visible, has become a concern in many neighborhoods. All projects must comply with the City of Santa Barbara's Outdoor Lighting Ordinance (Municipal Code Chapter 22.75) and Outdoor Lighting and Streetlight Design Guidelines, as well as State energy codes. Following these guidelines will help create an attractive ambience in your neighborhood and allow Santa Barbara's stars to be more visible at night time.

The design of the exterior lighting should not attempt to compensate for low levels of street lighting typical in hillside neighborhoods. Lighting in hillside areas requires special attention and care, as the low ambient light levels can exaggerate the impact of poorly designed lighting.

38.1. Generally. In general, all exterior lighting should be designed, located and lamped in order to prevent or minimize, overlighting, energy waste, glare, light trespass, and skyglow.

38.2. Minimize Lighting. Plan carefully to only install lighting where it is needed. Directional lighting and lower intensity lamps can reduce lighting impacts. Indiscriminate flood-lighting of broad areas is unacceptable. Where safety "floodlighting" is proposed for areas such as garage entries, only use lighting activated by motion sensors and directed downward.

38.3. Keep Lighting Low and Close. Light sources for landscape lighting should be near to the ground, Fixtures mounted on the building should relate to a human scale in their size and mounting height. Flood lighting for security, when used, must be aimed close to the building and not create glare for neighbors.

38.4. Consider Distant Views. Light sources must not be objectionable when seen from a distance. Is your property on a

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Font color: Auto, Not Small caps

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Deleted: can

Deleted: does not affect

Deleted: More light is not necessarily better. The location and style of exterior lighting for single family homes can affect both the design of the home and that of neighboring properties.

Deleted: well-structured

Deleted: and complement the home design while not imposing on surrounding neighbors

Deleted: serene quality

Deleted: inadequate

Deleted:

Deleted: . Due to the proximity of neighbors, the effect of lighting is amplified with greater extents of darkness between buildings.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Deleted: :

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Deleted: watt bulbs

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Deleted: at

Deleted: level

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold

Formatted

Deleted: Light sources should

Deleted: should

hillside visible from other areas? Consider how to place lighting on your site in ways that will minimize visibility from distant locations.

38.5 Driveways. Where possible, design driveways and landscaping so that headlights do not shine onto neighboring properties. Avoid the use of lighting fixtures spaced along the length of a driveway, limiting use and placement to the minimum necessary for safety. Keep in mind the view of this lighting from surrounding areas.

38.6 Walkway Lighting. Along walkways, low-level lighting in the form of bollards or fixtures mounted on short posts are the preferred lighting solution. Fixtures should be located to avoid hazards for pedestrians or vehicles, and should account for growth of landscaping.

38.7 Light Shielding. Where other than low-intensity light sources are used, fixtures must incorporate shielding to prevent objectionable brightness or light trespass. The city's Outdoor Lighting Guidelines contains useful charts of the intensity of different light sources, and when shielding becomes required. Keep in mind that even low-intensity light sources that are shielded, may still be directly visible from downhill neighbors, and considered a nuisance.

38.8 Landscape and Building Lighting. “Up-lighting” of trees and building elements is discouraged, but when used, such lighting must be limited in its use, and fixtures must confine lighting to features being lit through use of shielding, lamps with low intensity and appropriate beam spread, and timers.

38.9 Outdoor Living Areas. Lighting for outdoor living areas such as decks, patios, and swimming pools should be designed to minimize the visibility of the lighting from the surrounding neighborhood. Mounting of floodlights on the building wall and aiming away from the building is not acceptable.

38.10 Prohibited Lighting. Municipal Code Section 22.75.030.A prohibits the use of the following fixtures in all zones:

1. Lighting fixtures mounted in such a way as to illuminate a roof or awning.

- Deleted: lower lying
- Deleted: not be visible
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Deleted: Shatterproof coverings are recommended. Posts
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Not Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Deleted: Use light screening to avoid illuminating a greater area than intended. Light screening consi ... [3]
- Formatted ... [4]
- Deleted: Municipal Code Sect ... [5]
- Formatted ... [6]
- Formatted ... [7]
- Formatted ... [8]
- Formatted ... [9]
- Deleted: Landscape lighting ... [10]
- Deleted: u
- Formatted ... [11]
- Formatted ... [12]
- Formatted ... [13]
- Deleted: done
- Deleted: it must be done with ... [14]
- Formatted ... [15]
- Formatted ... [16]
- Deleted: and low intensity
- Formatted ... [17]
- Deleted: Any lighting in lands ... [18]
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

2. Lighting fixtures mounted to aim light only toward a property line.

3. Lighting fixtures mounted in a way that is distracting to motorists or that interferes with the safe operation of a motor vehicle, as may be determined by the City Engineer.

In addition to these ordinance provisions, lighting of architectural features or athletic courts is not appropriate for single family structures.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Also, delete former photo and its caption:

“This exterior lighting fixture features an inset light bulb which ensures lighting is only directed downward.”

Revise middle caption for top illustration on page 71-N to delete the word “only”.

N. Coastal Bluff Considerations - Good Neighbor Guidelines. Page 72-N, first column, create third sub-bullet:

- Fences and hedges on Coastal bluff properties often follow property lines perpendicular to the shoreline. These fences and hedges should maintain an open and unobstructed feeling in keeping with the ocean front. Consider your views and your neighbors views that occur at oblique angles across one another's properties. Avoid privacy fencing or hedges that extend well beyond the house toward the ocean. Minimize the visibility of fences and hedges from neighboring houses and from the ocean and beach.

O. Fences and Views. Page 79-N, first column, fourth bullet, add reference to tall fences and walls:

Avoid tall landscaping, fences or walls that interfere with your neighbor's views. Consider the mature plant growth height when selecting plants.

Page 78-N, right column, thirds bullet revise as follows:

Avoid tall landscaping, fences or walls that interfere with your neighbors' views. Consider the mature plant growth height when selecting plants.

P. Various Supplemental Information Updates

Updated Sample Master Application per new form.

Per ordinance standards established in Zoning Ordinance Amendments, September, 2008.

- Revised Residential Zoning Requirements table, page 90-SI
- Setbacks and Required Yards diagram, page 89-SI
- Fences, Walls and Hedges “Setbacks” text, page 93-SI

Tree Removal Requirements, page 92-SI, proposed new text:

Trees on Approved Landscape Plans

Municipal Code 22.11.010 prohibits significant alterations to approved landscape plans and unauthorized tree removal when there are conditions of approval for the development on the lot that require the installation and maintenance of trees in accordance with an approved landscape plan. Such trees may not be removed without SFDB approval and the required permit.

Q. Glossary of Terms

Delete former "Open Yard Area" definition

Delete former "Setback" definition and replace with these two items, page 107-SI

Setback, Front.

An area between the front lot line and a line parallel to the front lot line bounded by the interior lot lines of the lot that are roughly perpendicular to the front lot line, the depth of such area being the distance required by the Zoning Ordinance.

Setback, Interior.

An area between an interior lot line and a line parallel to the interior lot line bounded by the two lot lines adjacent to the interior lot line from which the setback is measured, the depth of such area being the distance required by the Zoning Ordinance.

Delete former definitions starting with "Yard, Front" through "Yard, Side" definition and replace with the following definition set, page 108-SI.

Yard, Open: A required yard, the purpose of which is to provide usable outdoor living space and/or visual open space.

Yard, Primary Front: A front yard, on a lot with multiple front yards, designated by the property owner and approved by the Community Development Director or the Director's designee as the primary front yard. All other front yards on the lot shall be secondary front yards.

Yard, Remaining Front: The area of the front yard outside the required front setback.

Yard, Secondary Front: Any front yard on a lot with multiple front yards that is not designated as the primary front yard.

II. Single Family Design Board Guidelines Draft Changes

Part I: Architectural Design Changes

A. Supplemental Design Guidelines. Updated the description for the Upper State Street Design Guidelines, page 4.

B. Administrative Review Adjustments: Retaining Walls (12-15-09 CAR Item 5), Black Chain Link Fencing (12-15-09 CAR Item 5A), Projects Not Publicly Visible (12-15-09 CAR Item 9A) and Minor Addition and Accessory Structures.

On 12-15-09 Council directed broader Administrative Review of some Design Review projects such as retaining walls, black chain link fencing and projects not publicly visible. Staff also proposes broadening of minor addition and accessory structure administrative reviews.

Part 1, Page 6, SFDB Guidelines proposed text, including 12-15-09 CAR Item 5A, 9A and staff proposals regarding expanded addition and accessory structure review.

SECTION 3 Administrative Approval Standards...

...Projects eligible for Administrative Approval. The following types of projects are eligible for administrative review and approval if the project complies with both the General Administrative Review Standards and the applicable Project-Specific Standards for Administrative Review.

- Accessory Structures, Spas and Trash/Recycling Enclosures

Deleted: Sheds

- Awnings

- Additions, -specified small :

Carports

Deleted: or new accessory structures

Deleted: one-story

Deleted: ¶

- Chimneys and Metal Flues

- Color Changes - Exterior

- Decks

- Doors

- Driveways/Paving/Minor Site Work

- Fences

- Garages

- Landscape Improvements

- Lighting: Exterior

- Mechanical Equipment: General

- Mechanical Equipment: Rooftop Equipment

- Manufactured Homes – One Story

• Porches

- Roofs (and “Reroofs”)

- Skylights

- Soil Remediation Systems

- Time extension – First One-
Year Extension

Deleted: 1

Deleted: y

Deleted: e

- Trellises

- Walls

- Windows

3.2 Project Specific Standards has proposed revised text for the following items.

A. **Accessory Structures, Spas and Trash/Recycling Enclosures.**

All of the following standards must be met in order to be eligible for administrative review and approval:

1. The accessory structure, spa, or enclosure area is 250 square feet or less. Exception: If the accessory structure, spa or trash/recycling enclosure is not publicly visible, then the project can be up to 500 square feet.
2. Accessory structures are located in consideration of neighbors and appropriately screened.
3. Materials match site fencing or the main structure's materials and colors.
4. Any mechanical equipment associated with the structure meets the mechanical equipment administrative approval criteria above.

B. **Additions – Minor One-Story:** Minor One-story additions may be reviewed and approved administratively if all of the following apply to the project:

1. no second unit in resulting project;
2. resulting home is less than 17' tall;
3. addition is less than 50% of amount of existing square footage in 1992;
4. the project is less than 85% of the maximum FAR;
5. less than 250 square feet is proposed to be added to the first floor;
6. the addition is not highly visible from public viewing locations;
7. less than 100 cubic yards of grading is proposed;
8. the addition complies with retaining wall guidelines; and
9. there is no vegetative roof on the proposed resulting project.

Exception: A minor addition which is not publicly visible and located in consideration of neighbors and appropriately screened is administratively approvable even if quantities listed in criteria 5 and 7 above are exceeded by the project.

C. **Additions – Minor Two-Story:** Additions may be reviewed and approved administratively if all of the following apply to the project:

1. no second unit in resulting project;
2. addition is less than 50% of amount of existing square footage in 1992;
3. the project is less than 85% of the maximum FAR;
4. less than 250 square feet is proposed to be added;

5. the addition is not highly visible from public viewing locations;
6. less than 100 cubic yards of grading is proposed;
7. the addition complies with retaining wall guidelines; and
8. there is no vegetative roof in the proposed resulting project.

Exception: A minor two-story addition which is not publicly visible, located in consideration of neighbors and appropriately screened is administratively approvable even if the quantity listed in criteria 6 above is exceeded by the project.

Proposal:

Carports: Carports which meet all of the following criteria are administratively approvable:

- are not publicly visible as defined in Section 3.3
- where there is no garage on the property, at least 120 cubic feet of appropriately designed storage is provided and such storage meets accessory structure or addition administrative review criteria.
- Carport is compatible in style and materials with the main structure.
- Metal poles are not used as carport supports.
- A an appropriately decoratively paved pedestrian pathway connecting the carport to the main residence is provided.
- Landscape planting areas are located adjacent to the carport if feasible.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 1" + Tab after: 1.15" + Indent at: 1.15"

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 1" + Tab after: 1.15" + Indent at: 1.15"

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 1" + Tab after: 1.15" + Indent at: 1.15"

F. **Decks – Residential.** Decks over 200 square feet in area or decks elevated above the first floor level are not eligible for administrative approvals, unless the deck is not publicly visible as defined in Section 3.3 and located in consideration of neighbors and appropriately screened. The following standards must be met for administrative approval:

1. New decks are of a scale and style which is compatible with the structure to which the deck is attached.
2. When viewed from a public viewing location, the proposed deck is not likely to be more noticeable than the structure it is attached to.
3. When viewed from a public viewing location, the proposed deck is not likely to be more noticeable than other decks on adjacent properties or in the immediate neighborhood if no decks are on immediately adjacent properties.
4. Deck wood is proposed to be left in a natural condition to weather or is proposed to be treated with a neutral or wood

color stain or sealer or painted to match the color of the house or trim.

- I. **Fences.** Chicken wire, sheet metal, plastic, vinyl, wire-mesh and unfaced cement block fence materials are not eligible for Administrative Staff Review. Fences not specifically excluded in the preceding sentence may be reviewed and approved administratively if all of the following are satisfied:
1. The fence is 8 feet or less in height.
 2. Lot Line Fences: Fence height, length and use of materials shall be compatible with the neighborhood.
 3. If the fence is constructed of wood, it is constructed of smooth cedar, redwood, high-quality pressure treated pine, or comparable material and left in a natural condition to weather or be treated with a neutral or wood color stain or sealer.
 4. If the fence is constructed of chain link, it is dark colored or hot dip galvanized chain link fencing located outside of any front yard.
- P. **Porches.** If all of the following standards are met, residential porches may be administratively approved.
1. The porch is a traditional porch design in that it is raised less than six feet above the sidewalk level or finished grade, whichever is higher, and has no exposed understory.
 2. The type and color of proposed porch materials are compatible with the architectural style of the structure.
 3. The porch railing and supports are designed so that the front door is easily visible from the street.
 4. The porch alignment with the structure complements the existing structure's architectural alignment, patterns and features.
 5. If the porch is publicly visible as defined in Section 3.3, the porch is modest in scale and the porch roof is not higher than 12 feet.
 6. The proposed porch roofing matches the roofing material of the structure.
- U. **Trellises.** Chain link, chicken wire, metal, plastic, vinyl, wire-mesh and unfaced cement block trellis materials are not eligible for Administrative Staff Review. Trellises are eligible for administrative approval if all of the following are satisfied:
1. The trellis covers less than 250 square feet and is less than 12 feet tall Exception: If the project is not publicly visible as

defined in Section 3.3, located in consideration of neighbors and appropriately screened, then this criteria is not required for approval.

2. Constructed of smooth cedar, redwood, high-quality, pressure-treated pine or comparable material and left in a natural condition to weather or be treated with a neutral or wood color stain or sealer.
3. Lot line trellises' height, length and use of materials are compatible with the neighborhood.

V. **Walls.** Walls approved administratively must meet all the following criteria:

1. Less than 4 feet tall. Exception: If the project is not publicly visible as defined in Section 3.3, located in consideration of neighbors and appropriately screened, then this criteria does not apply.
2. Less than 50 cubic yards of grading outside the main building footprint for the wall project
3. Similar in character with other walls visible in the neighborhood from public viewing locations
4. Hillside Design District Walls: shall follow all Single Family Design Guidelines regarding blending with the natural surroundings.
5. Lot Line Walls: Wall height, length and use of materials shall be compatible with the neighborhood.

C. Publicly Visible Definition. A definition of “publicly visible” is proposed as follows on page 13.

3.3 Definition

Publicly Visible. A building, structure, or improvement is publicly visible if it may be typically, reasonably, and usually observed by an average person standing or traveling upon a public right-of-way or visible from a public park, beach, or other area generally open for public use. If the building, structure, or improvement is only visible from a very distant viewing location where the building, structure, or improvement would not be readily discernable from the viewing location, then the building, structure, or improvement is not considered publicly visible for purposes of interpreting these guidelines.

D. Modifications. Staff recognized that clarification language as to the SFDB and HLC's roles in commenting on projects proposing modifications would be helpful and is proposed as follows as Section 4 of Part I on page 13.

SECTION 4 Modifications

4.1 Single Family Design Board Role in Commenting on Modification Requests

Requests for modifications to the Zoning Ordinance for individual projects are approved by either the Staff Hearing Officer or the Planning Commission. SFDB or HLC comment on modification requests. This occurs at Concept Review hearings prior to the request being heard by the SHO or PC. The SFDB or HLC's role in commenting on the modification is limited to whether the proposed modification poses aesthetic issues, such as inconsistency with neighborhood development patterns or exacerbates conflicts with the Single Family Residential Design Guidelines. General support or lack of support of a modification is a land use decision and is not the purview of the SFDB or HLC. Following is an example of SFDB or HLC comment on modification requests:

"The proposed modification is/is not aesthetically appropriate. The proposed modification poses/does not pose consistency issues with Single Family Residential Design Guidelines (if applicable, include guideline reference number or numbers for reference)."

4.2 Modifications of Yard, Lot and Floor Area Regulations

For these cases, additional comment from the SFDB or HLC as to whether the modification promotes an appearance of uniformity of improvement or not is helpful. Comment on whether the modification promotes an appearance of uniformity of development is helpful because the Zoning Ordinance requires the modification to promote uniformity of improvement for a modification approval of yard, lot and floor area regulations.

E. Uncovered Parking (12-15-09 CAR Item 7A)

On 12-15-09, the City Council directed staff to pursue CAR optional Item 7A:

Study an option to allow case by case waivers which could be granted by the SFDB for two uncovered parking spaces for homes under 80% of the maximum FAR could be added. Design Review would be required for the uncovered parking spaces.

After further consideration, case by case waivers by the SFDB are no longer recommended because the term "waiver" is typically used in the context of administrative waivers by Transportation Division staff. Instead, a new exception item in the ordinance is recommended along with Design Review by the SFDB. The ordinance exception requires less than 80% of the maximum FAR for the project, 120 square feet of exterior storage, screening of the parking space, and permeable paving. New guidelines on this topic are also proposed. In reviewing each case, the SFDB will ensure not only high quality design and details for such proposals, but would also be charged with determining whether neighborhood compatibility findings can be made for such proposals.

SFDB guidelines regarding two-uncovered parking space exception requests are proposed as Section 5 of Part I on page 14.

SECTION 5. Two Uncovered Parking Space Exception Requests

For an exception of two uncovered parking spaces, the Zoning Ordinance requires:

1. The uncovered spaces shall not be located in any front yard on the lot,
2. The uncovered spaces shall be screened from public view,
3. If new pavement is proposed for any of the uncovered spaces and the site has an appropriate slope for permeable paving, then the new pavement shall be permeable,
4. Storage space with exterior access of at least 120 square feet of net floor area shall be provided on the lot, and
5. The location of the parking and the design of the screening shall be reviewed and approved by the SFDB or HLC, as applicable.
6. If the lot is located in the A, E, or R-1 zones and has less than 15,000 square feet of net lot area, the uncovered spaces may encroach up to three feet (3') into a required interior yard if a landscaped buffer is provided between the uncovered spaces and the adjacent interior lot line.
7. All other provisions of this Title shall apply to the required parking.

The SFDB or HLC is to comment on the effectiveness of the project's proposed screening of the uncovered spaces from public view and the aesthetic quality of structures and landscaping related to automobile and bicycle parking and storage structures. The SFDB or HLC also determines whether the project is consistent with Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance findings, including neighborhood compatibility findings.

- 5.1 **Recommended Project Aspects.** Inclusion of the following items in uncovered parking modification proposals will make the project more likely to be able to be supported. The SFDB or HLC comments on the aesthetic qualities of uncovered parking areas, permeable paving, landscaping plantings and hardscapes, storage structures or exterior cabinets, and bicycle racks and shelters, or any other project

aspects that arise from the two uncovered parking spaces exception proposal.

Recommended Items

- a. **Screened from public and neighbors' views.** The uncovered space(s) is effectively screened from public view, and so noted by the SFDB or HLC in their comments on the project. Any gates proposed for screening are electronic, to ensure that they can be easily closed after each use; and
- b. **Any converted garages to be appropriately designed.** For projects that include conversion of a garage to another use, garage door(s) must be removed and driveway paving to the converted garage must be replaced with appropriate landscaping; and
- c. **Parking delineated.** The uncovered space is clearly delineated for parking use through the use of appropriate planting and hardscape landscape details. Landscape features prevent parking beyond the delineated parking spaces into other planted areas; and
- d. **Appropriate shading.** Landscape planting is proposed to ensure appropriate shading of the space to avoid the possibility of future plastic/canvas shade structure placement. New trees chosen to provide shade should have leaf litter, pollen or branching characteristics compatible with car parking, such as trees listed in the Architectural Board of Review Guidelines as appropriate for parking lots; and
- e. **Appropriately designed storage.** At least 120 square feet of exterior accessible lockable storage suitable for the storage of yard maintenance equipment and hazardous household products is provided. Storage area and design should be adequate to the degree necessary to discourage future placement of lower aesthetic quality sheds; and
- f. **Formal bicycle parking.** A formal bicycle parking area supports a modification because garages are typically where bicycles are securely stored. Formal bicycle racks with paved maneuvering room consistent with City Transportation standards would support an uncovered parking modification request as it

- would ensure bicycle parking is adequately addressed. Sheltered bicycle parking would further support the proposal; and
- g. **High quality details proposed.** High quality design details and materials are provided in all of the project aspects that support the uncovered parking space, e.g. landscaping and hardscape parking area delineations, screening and shading, and storage cabinet and bicycle parking provisions and the provision of the high quality design details is noted by the SFDB or HLC in their comments.

Additional Supporting Circumstances

The SFDB or HLC may also make note of any of the following additional supporting circumstances in their review of two uncovered parking space exception requests.

- a. **Constrained lot.** The design is needed for site development flexibility on a constrained lot, such as a lot that is less than 55' wide or less than 10,000 square feet; or
- b. **Facilitates effective response to legally non-conforming parking situation.** The proposal allows the applicant to avoid demolition of major portions of existing structures to accommodate an addition that triggers a two-car parking requirement where there was previously only a legally non-conforming one-car garage on site; or
- c. **Street-friendly façade.** The proposal results in an exceptionally "street friendly" façade, whereby windows which support neighborhood safety and an appropriately scaled detailed façade is featured rather than a unfriendly/bulky/windowless garage appearance; or
- d. **Open site design.** The proposal allows for a more "open" site design allowing more opportunities for sunlight, air circulation or landscaping.

Part 2: Landscaping

A. Creeks Division Website Reference. On page, 18, insert reference and link to Creeks Division website on page 1 of the SFDB Guidelines Part 2: Landscaping section under "Relationship to Other City Handouts".

B. Landscape Maintenance/Conditions of Approval. Added SBMC 22.11 reference on page 25.

Part 3: Meeting Procedures Changes

A. Noticing (12-15-09 CAR Items 2 and 2A). Change references to the 20 closest lots to the 10 closest lots for hand-delivered noticing and delete reference to closest lots for the mailed noticing. Page 32 proposed revisions:

2.3 Notices.

A. Consent and Full Board Items. Some projects require a noticed hearing before the SFDB. When a noticed hearing is required, written notice of the hearing is mailed to the applicant, and the owner of any lot within 300 feet of the project. In addition, notice of the hearing is posted on the site of the proposed project and “door to door” flier noticing must be given to the residents on the 10 closest lots...

Deleted: the owners of the 20 closest lots,

Deleted: 20

B. Vacant Lot Review (12-15-09 CAR Item 6). Eliminate former item 2.6.A, “Site Concept Review”. On page 36, eliminate former last sentence of item “F. Vacant Hillside Design District Lots Special Requirements”.

C. Minor Alterations Eligible for Administrative Staff Approval. To avoid duplication, the list of projects deleted from page 36, reader is referred to Part I, Section 3 for the list of eligible projects.

D. Votes on FAR Modification Projects (12-15-09 CAR Item 6). Clarify that five supporting votes are required by the SFDB for projects which seek an FAR Modification to be able to proceed to the PC. Page 40 proposed text:

E. Zoning Modification of Net Floor Area. (SBMC 28.92.110.A.6) Applications requesting a modification to exceed the allowable maximum floor area must receive votes in support of the modification following a concept review of the project from not less than five (5) members of the Single Family Design Board or six (6) members of the Historic Landmarks Commission (on projects referred to the Commission pursuant to Section 22.69.030).

E. Time Limits on Approvals and Time Extensions. Language revised in the guidelines as follows to reflect ordinance proposed changes on page 40.

2.9 Action, Appeals, and Expiration of Approval.

A. Time Limits on Approvals. Conceptual comments are valid for one year. SFDB preliminary approval is valid for one year from the date of the approval unless a time extension or final approval has been granted. Final approval is valid for two years from the date of

final action unless a time extension has been granted or a Building Permit has been issued.

- B. **Time Extensions.** The time periods specified for approvals shall not include any period of time during which (i) a moratorium on the issuance of building permits, imposed after the final approval, is in effect; or (ii) a lawsuit involving the preliminary or final approval is or was pending in a court of competent jurisdiction.
1. **Preliminary Approval Extension.** A one-year time extension may be requested and granted in accordance with the provisions of Santa Barbara Municipal Code §22.22.180 or §22.69.090.
 2. **Final Approval Extensions.** One-year time extensions may be requested and granted in accordance with the provisions of Santa Barbara Municipal Code §22.22.180 or §22.69.090.

F. Jurisdiction, 3.2.B Scope of Project Review, Focused Review. Change fence/wall height trigger to 3.5', rather than 6', page 42. **Projects Subject to Design Review.** Update list to reflect roof alteration trigger new ordinance language and proposed 3.5' front yard fence/wall trigger rather than 6' trigger, page 43.

G. Subdivision Projects (12-15-10 CAR Item 6). 12-15-09 CAR Item 6 calls for additional information regarding the SFDB's role in review of residential subdivisions which are to be reviewed by the Planning Commission. To address this, text is proposed to be inserted in the SFDB Guidelines, Part III Meeting Procedures, as 3.3 Subdivision Grading Plans in SFDB Guidelines Part III. Page 44 proposed text:

3.3 **Subdivision Grading Plans.** Applicable in single family zones only (SBMC 22.69.020.D). The following project aspects will be reviewed for these projects after any applicable Pre-Application Review Team review is completed.

- A. **Site Design.**
- Consistency with Single Family Residential Design Guidelines (SFRDG) 1 (Environmental Setting and Landscaping), 2 (Site Planning and Structure Placement), and 33 (Neighborhood Compatibility).
 - Layout of lots and suitability of development for future building pads and building envelopes.
 - Consistency with Hillside NPO finding for protection of natural topography Public/private roadway improvements, pedestrian sidewalks and parkways.

- Alignment of driveways, lengths and quantity of pavement proposed.
- Proposed entryway and pathway identification to front doors leading from streets.
- Degree of access that can be provided to lots and to future homes for visitors.
- Compatibility of design with surrounding neighborhoods in terms of lot sizes and building heights, building sizes and building massing. If necessary, the SFDB or HLC may request additional information to complete analysis of this item.
- Pedestrian connectivity to adjacent neighborhoods and community uses.
- Preservation of any existing significant public scenic views of and from the hillside.

B. Grading.

- Consistency with NPO grading findings and SFRDG 30 (Grading) and 31 (Grading for Driveways), including appropriateness of grading quantities and cut and fill locations to avoid visible scarring.

C. Retaining Walls, Fencing and Entry Gates.

- Consistency with SFRDG 35 (Retaining Walls), regarding heights, lengths, materials, design and locations.
- Lot lines follow terrain and allow for reasonable development and would not promote construction of tall retaining walls or unusual fencing patterns on steep slopes.
- Neighborhood compatibility of any security gates.

D. Site landscaping - Conceptual Landscape Plan.

- Consistency with SFRDG 1 (Environmental Setting and Landscaping).
- Street tree types and locations.
- Preservation of trees where possible.
- Evaluation and mitigation of any tree removals.
- Screening of site (if deemed appropriate).

- E. **Site Drainage Facilities.**
- Consistency with Single Family Residential Design Guidelines 4 (Permeability) to maximize site permeability.
 - Drainage conveyance and retention systems, including appropriateness of any proposed fencing.
 - Storm Water Management Program compliance.
- F. **Site Utilities.**
- Electrical distribution equipment and transformer locations.
 - Gas metering locations, Fire Department backflow and cross connection devices.
 - Central mailbox locations, if applicable.
- G. **Parking Design.** Location of proposed on-street and off-street parking and consistency with SFDG 5 (Parking Aesthetics).
- H. **Privacy Design.** Lot layout provides for sufficient setbacks between structures to create privacy between neighbors and compliance with Good Neighbor Guidelines.
- I. **Solar Access.** Consistency with SFDG 3 (Solar Design) regarding orientation of homes for the use of active and passive solar energy systems. Review of existing site trees that may impact solar energy use.
- J. **Staff Hearing Officer and Planning Commission Purview.** The following project elements are under the purview of the Staff Hearing Officer and Planning Commission Purview and do not require comments from the SFDB.
- Compliance with State Subdivision Act rules and regulations (e.g. avoidance of flag lots).
 - Compliance with City of Santa Barbara General Plan.
 - Compliance with City of Santa Barbara Municipal Code Title 27 "Subdivisions", including Findings, listed in 27.07.100.
 - Appropriate residential density and lot sizes.
 - Appropriate public or private street design.
 - Traffic issues/impacts (i.e.: impact of additional traffic on existing neighborhoods or need for street lights).

- Setting of building envelopes and areas of restricted development.
- Protection of public view corridors from public streets, trails, parks and beaches.

H. Projects Over 4,000 Square Feet. In Section 5, item 5.5, Built Green requirement for residences over 4,000 square feet to be changed from a two-star to a three-star rating, page 48.

I. Appendix A. Replaced with more recently adopted ordinance information on page 55.

J. Appendix B. Fire sprinkler requirements is proposed to be included on page 62.

II. Single Family Residential Design Guidelines Draft Changes

(12-15-09 CAR Item 6)

A. Creeks References. Improve references to city guidelines for projects alongside creeks and their specific landscaping issues (e.g. riparian and native plant preservation). For page 7-SP Guideline 1 Environmental Setting, insert a new sentence as follows.

...Projects adjacent to creeks should follow applicable “special area” landscape design guidelines for creeks, water courses and wetlands listed in the Single Family Design Board Guidelines. Native plant preservation is important on some sites. Additionally, consider potential impacts of new and remodeled structures in the vicinity of historic resources identified by the City.

B. Water Conservation References. Improve references to the city’s landscaping guidelines for water conservation. Page 7-SP proposed revision to Guideline 1:

1. Environmental Setting & Landscaping

1a. Integrate structures and site plan with the environmental setting. Structures are integrated with the setting when new dwellings and additions look as if they belong on the site,...

1b. Comply with landscape standards, codes and guidelines.
Projects are required to comply with applicable city water wise standards and Storm Water Management Program components. Additionally, the SFDB Guidelines contain a chapter of Landscape Design Guidelines which all projects should comply with.

C. Carport Design Guidelines. Staff recognized that additional guidelines to address carports is needed and proposes new text on page 12-SP.

D. Uncovered Parking Design Guidelines. 12-15-09 CAR Item 6 calls for guidelines to address uncovered parking spaces. To address this, the following text is proposed to be inserted in the SFDG page 13-SP.

Uncovered Parking Aesthetics

Some projects may have uncovered parking for guests in addition to the two covered parking spaces required.

5.7 Uncovered parking should be screened from the street and neighbors and placed behind the main house structure when possible. Any screening gates should be compatible with the neighborhood.

5.8 Uncovered parking in front of a house should be screened from the street by topography, structures or landscaping.

- 5.9 Uncovered parking should be delineated with plant or hardscape landscaping.
- 5.10 Use appropriate landscape planting to ensure adequate shading of the space.
- 5.11 On flat sites, new paving for the uncovered space should be permeable.

D. Covered Elements Guidelines. The following text is proposed to be added to Page 18-C in the left-hand bulleted list. Additionally, a new subheading, “Volume, Bulk, Massing and Scale Issues” is proposed for the left hand column of page 18-C.

- **Second Story Decks:** Do wall elements, guardrails, furniture, or outdoor fireplaces contribute to the **bulk** or **scale** of the project?
- **Covered Porches, Loggias, and Covered Decks:** Do the covered porches, loggias, and/or covered decks enhance the building’s design, appearance, and function? Do they contribute to excessive **mass**, **scale** and **bulk**? Careful consideration should be given to projects that propose greater than 250 square feet of these areas, or when they are greater than 10% of the total net square footage of the structure. Because they include roof structures these areas might easily be enclosed in the future, possibly without design review. Future enclosure of existing covered areas may contribute to unacceptable **size**, **bulk**, and **scale**, eliminate a desirable architectural feature, or exceed FAR limits.

E. FAR Guidelines Implementation. Page 18-C proposed text addition:

FARs measure and limit a structure’s size based on lot size. FARs do not translate to an accurate measure of volume because plate heights and roof slopes for homes vary. However, they are a useful indication of a structure’s bulk relative to its site. Architectural features such as covered porches, loggias, and covered decks contribute to the mass and bulk of a building. While they are not included in the FAR, they are considered as part of the project’s mass and bulk. FARs provide general parameters of reasonable lot build-out according to lot size. FARs are often used to analyze a proposed project’s potential for neighborhood compatibility. Many communities have implemented FARs to better control size, bulk and scale of development. Ideally FARs can help prevent sudden dramatic incompatible neighborhood changes.

Proposed is to revise Page 21C by inserting the following text after the section “Projects Under 85% of the Maximum FARs are Encouraged” and before the section “Properties Legal-Nonconforming as to a Required Maximum Size”.

Applicability of FARs as Guidelines.

Maximum FARs are applied as guidelines rather than requirements on lots that are 15,000 square feet or larger, or located in multi-family or non-residential zones. Site and zoning variables might contribute to less reliability in the use of the 20 closest FAR Study.

Some situations may support higher FARs and projects that approach or exceed guideline FARs might not pose a problem and FAR compatibility may be less critical. Larger lots may allow more space between structures and in some cases may allow the project to be less visible to the public and to neighbors. In multi-family or non-residential zones where density of development is usually higher, single-family residential projects will likely have lower FARs than other types of development. These zones are likely to have more variety of development.

Other situations may support lower FARs. When the buildable portion of a site is small in relationship to the lot size, an FAR lower than what would normally be indicated for the lot size may be more appropriate. On some large lots not all of the lot area may be developable due to steep slopes or creek or ocean bluff setbacks. These site constraints can push development on a site closer to the street, or closer to neighbors. In the Riviera there are examples where development on larger lots is clustered close together around cul-de-sacs or built close to the public streets. The configuration of the lot may reduce its developable area, for example flag lots. Corner lots or other lots with multiple street frontages have increased area within the front setbacks and development on these lots may be more visible. In situations like these, compatibility with neighboring FARs may be more pertinent. As a general rule, where the development is closer to property boundaries or more visible to the public and to neighbors, the proposed FAR should be reduced.

F. 20 Closest Homes Data Use Guidance. Page 21C proposed additional text:

20 Closest FAR Study

When a project proposes to exceed 85% of a maximum required FAR, the applicant must provide a study of the FARs of the 20 closest lots. Using a geographic information system, the 20 closest lots are selected for the project's neighborhood. This information is a tool used by the review board to assist in determining the compatibility of a project's size within its neighborhood. Data on square footages and lot sizes are obtained from the County Assessor's Office or from City records and plan archives. The information is assumed to be approximate due to variations in calculation methods and because many County records reflect original home sizes, but the data allows a general sense of the

project's size and FAR compatibility with nearby development. Factors to consider when using the 20 Closest FAR Study include:

- Variability of square footages in the neighborhood
- Variability of lot sizes and FARs in the neighborhood
- Site constraints; how much of the lot area is developable?
- Is the project near the average for the neighborhood?
- Is the project among the largest in the neighborhood?
- The project's volume, bulk, scale, height, and massing relative to its square footage
- Closer proximity to neighboring structures and/or denser development in the neighborhood suggests closer adherence to the size of adjacent structures and to the average size of structures in the study.

G. Glass Railings. The following new text was discussed by a subcommittee on glass railings on 6/8/09 and 6/22/09 and by the SFDB on 7/6/09. Page 31-C proposed text:

Glass Material:

16.7 In general, deck-railing materials should be selected to be consistent with the architectural style of the structure. The use of glass railings as guardrails or as windscreens is not the preferred material at highly visible locations due to the possible glare associated with these types of installations. Installations of reflective glass materials will be reviewed to determine if the installation is compatible with the structure and that it does not create significant glare problems. Large "picture" windows that are not broken up with mullions and/or muntins will be reviewed for architectural compatibility and for glare problems.

H. Basement Guidelines. 12-15-09 CAR Item 6 calls for guidelines regarding how to determine if a basement design and size is appropriate and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. To address this, the following text is proposed to be inserted on page 32-C.

18. Partial Basement Design.

Carefully design partial basements so that they do not inordinately create a bulky appearance, or contribute to an inappropriate apparent height.

Daylight, or partial basement designs, where some portions of the floor level are above ground are not considered a full basement. Daylight basements may obtain a 50% FAR reduction if at least half of the exterior perimeter walls are sufficiently below grade. (SBMC 28.15.083) Partial basement designs are integral to the entire project appearance and will be reviewed for size, bulk and scale, apparent height, appropriateness and neighborhood compatibility along with the rest of the project regardless of any basement

discounts the project may have received. Floor areas completely underground and located within full basements levels are 100% exempt from inclusion in FAR calculations due to these areas not being significantly visible.

Proposed for the final printing is to insert small photos of good examples of each of these types of basements, or small diagrams labeled, and potentially also include definitions of these terms:

- Partial / Daylight Basement
- Walk-Up Basement

18.1 The following basement project types warrant careful review of basement floor areas:

- publicly visible daylight basement
 - corner lot location
 - especially visible hillside areas .
 - if a partial basement size exceeds 25% of the house size
- In some cases, large visible daylight basement areas should be reduced, placed underground and hidden from view as they contribute to the size, bulk and scale of a house size.

18.2 Grading and cutting into sloped hillsides to create basement floors is an acceptable grading technique. However, the following basement construction techniques are discouraged:

- excessive fill placement
- excessive retaining walls placement
- elevating natural grades around a structure's perimeter to create basement floor areas. The placement of this type of grading fill elevates the building higher than the natural topography and may be considered an artificial mechanism to increase floor areas. The SFDB may limit this type of grading design.

18.3 Garage Basements. Excessive retaining wall placement to create driveway or walk-out basements is not acceptable. The design of a garage opening at the basement level can contribute to a structure's apparent height. Carefully ensure that any garage basement complies with Apparent Height Guideline 28 and Grading for Driveways Guideline 30.

I. Lighting Guideline Revisions. Many of the following recommended lighting revisions shown in strikeout and underline to begin on page 69-N were drafted by Architect Steve Hausz with additional revisions recommended by the Subcommittee and staff.

38. Lighting Guidelines

Lighting for single family homes is usually proposed for security and decorative reasons, and should be designed in a way that it is not detrimental to neighboring properties. A good lighting plan for a home will provide sufficient light for adequate site security, will use

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.65", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.65" + Indent at: 0.65", Tabs: 0.45", List tab

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Font color: Auto, Not Small caps

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Deleted: can

Deleted: does not affect

Deleted: More light is not necessarily better. The location and style of exterior lighting for single family homes can affect both the design of the home and that of neighboring properties.

Deleted: well-structured

Deleted: and complement the home design while not imposing on surrounding neighbors

fixtures appropriate for the style of architecture, and will use the least amount of light and energy necessary to meet those objectives. “Night glow,” the effect of artificial lights illuminating the night sky and making stars less visible, has become a concern in many neighborhoods. All projects must comply with the City of Santa Barbara’s Outdoor Lighting Ordinance (Municipal Code Chapter 22.75) and Outdoor Lighting and Streetlight Design Guidelines, as well as State energy codes. Following these guidelines will help create an attractive ambience in your neighborhood and allow Santa Barbara’s stars to be more visible at night time.

The design of the exterior lighting should not attempt to compensate for low levels of street lighting typical in hillside neighborhoods. Lighting in hillside areas requires special attention and care, as the low ambient light levels can exaggerate the impact of poorly designed lighting.

38.1 Generally. In general, all exterior lighting should be designed, located and lamped in order to prevent or minimize overlighting, energy waste, glare, light trespass, and skyglow.

38.2 Minimize Lighting. Plan carefully to only install lighting where it is needed. Directional lighting and lower intensity lamps can reduce lighting impacts. Indiscriminate flood-lighting of broad areas is unacceptable. Where safety “floodlighting” is proposed for areas such as garage entries, only use lighting activated by motion sensors and directed downward.

38.3 Keep Lighting Low and Close. Light sources for landscape lighting should be near to the ground. Fixtures mounted on the building should relate to a human scale in their size and mounting height. Flood lighting for security, when used, must be aimed close to the building and not create glare for neighbors.

38.4 Consider Distant Views. Light sources must not be objectionable when seen from a distance. Is your property on a hillside visible from other areas? Consider how to place lighting on your site in ways that will minimize visibility from distant locations.

38.5 Driveways. Where possible, design driveways and landscaping so that headlights do not shine onto neighboring properties. Avoid the use of lighting fixtures spaced along the length of a driveway, limiting use and placement to the minimum

- Deleted: serene quality
- Deleted: inadequate
- Deleted:
- Deleted: . Due to the proximity of neighbors, the effect of lighting is amplified with greater extents of darkness between buildings.
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Deleted: :
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Deleted: watt bulbs
- Deleted:
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Deleted: at
- Deleted: level
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Deleted: Light sources should not Consider Distant Views.
- Deleted: should
- Deleted: lower lying
- Deleted: not be visible
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

necessary for safety. Keep in mind the view of this lighting from surrounding areas.

38.6 Walkway Lighting. Along walkways, low-level lighting in the form of bollards or fixtures mounted on short posts are the preferred lighting solution. Fixtures should be located to avoid hazards for pedestrians or vehicles, and should account for growth of landscaping.

38.7 Light Shielding. Where other than low-intensity light sources are used, fixtures must incorporate shielding to prevent objectionable brightness or light trespass. The city's Outdoor Lighting Guidelines contains useful charts of the intensity of different light sources, and when shielding becomes required. Keep in mind that even low-intensity light sources that are shielded, may still be directly visible from downhill neighbors, and considered a nuisance.

38.8 Landscape and Building Lighting. "Up-lighting" of trees and building elements is discouraged, but when used, such lighting must be limited in its use, and fixtures must confine lighting to features being lit through use of shielding, lamps with low intensity and appropriate beam spread, and timers.

38.9 Outdoor Living Areas. Lighting for outdoor living areas such as decks, patios, and swimming pools should be designed to minimize the visibility of the lighting from the surrounding neighborhood. Mounting of floodlights on the building wall and aiming away from the building is not acceptable.

38.10 Prohibited Lighting. Municipal Code Section 22.75.030.A prohibits the use of the following fixtures in all zones:

4. Lighting fixtures mounted in such a way as to illuminate a roof or awning.
5. Lighting fixtures mounted to aim light only toward a property line.
6. Lighting fixtures mounted in a way that is distracting to motorists or that interferes with the safe operation of a motor vehicle, as may be determined by the City Engineer.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Deleted: Shatterproof coverings are recommended. Posts
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Not Bold
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Deleted: Use light screening to avoid illuminating a greater area than intended. Light screening consists of shielding a light to only illuminate a desired area.
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Deleted: Municipal Code Section 22.75.030.A requires
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Deleted: Landscape lighting in the form of
Deleted: u
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt
Formatted: ... [19]
Deleted: done
Deleted: it must be done with ... [20]
Formatted: ... [21]
Formatted: ... [22]
Deleted: and low intensity
Formatted: ... [23]
Deleted: Any lighting in lands ... [24]
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

In addition to these ordinance provisions, lighting of architectural features or athletic courts is not appropriate for single family structures.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Also, delete photo on page 70-N and its caption:

“This exterior lighting fixture features an inset light bulb which ensures lighting is only directed downward.”

Revise middle caption for top illustration on page 71-N to delete the word “only”.

J. Coastal Bluff Considerations - Good Neighbor Guidelines. Page 72-N, first column, create third sub-bullet:

- Fences and hedges on Coastal bluff properties often follow property lines perpendicular to the shoreline. These fences and hedges should maintain an open and unobstructed feeling in keeping with the ocean front. Consider your views and your neighbors views that occur at oblique angles across one another’s properties. Avoid privacy fencing or hedges that extend well beyond the house toward the ocean. Minimize the visibility of fences and hedges from neighboring houses and from the ocean and beach.

K. Page 72-N, right column, thirds bullet revise as follows:

Avoid tall landscaping, fences or walls that interfere with your neighbors' views. Consider the mature plant growth height when selecting plants.

H:\Group Folders\PLAN\Design Review\NPO 2009 Review\Final Versions for Council 4-2010\Guidelines Revision List 4-8-10.doc

Page 7: [1] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 7: [2] Deleted	Steve Hausz	10/17/2009 12:06:00 PM
---------------------	-------------	------------------------

Light sources should not **Consider Distant Views**.

Page 8: [3] Deleted	Steve Hausz	10/17/2009 12:14:00 PM
---------------------	-------------	------------------------

Use light screening to avoid illuminating a greater area than intended. Light screening consists of shielding a light to only illuminate a desired area.

Page 8: [4] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [5] Deleted	hbaker	2/22/2010 2:41:00 PM
---------------------	--------	----------------------

Municipal Code Section 22.75.030.A requires

Page 8: [6] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [7] Formatted	hbaker	2/9/2010 12:38:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt, Bold

Page 8: [8] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [9] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [10] Deleted	hbaker	2/22/2010 2:26:00 PM
----------------------	--------	----------------------

Landscape lighting in the form of

Page 8: [11] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [12] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [13] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [14] Deleted	Steve Hausz	10/17/2009 12:23:00 PM
----------------------	-------------	------------------------

it must be done with narrow angle focused fixtures with low wattage
lamping

Page 8: [15] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [16] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [17] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 8: [18] Deleted	Steve Hausz	10/17/2009 12:27:00 PM
----------------------	-------------	------------------------

Any lighting in landscapes should be predominantly hidden "cutoff "
fixtures with low wattage lamping on timers.

Page 34: [19] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 34: [20] Deleted	Steve Hausz	10/17/2009 12:23:00 PM
-----------------------	-------------	------------------------

it must be done with narrow angle focused fixtures with low wattage
lamping

Page 34: [21] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 34: [22] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 34: [23] Formatted	hbaker	1/28/2010 12:23:00 PM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Page 34: [24] Deleted	Steve Hausz	10/17/2009 12:27:00 PM
-----------------------	-------------	------------------------

Any lighting in landscapes should be predominantly hidden "cutoff "
fixtures with low wattage lamping on timers.